

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 21, 1999 LB 605

Hartnett.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Mr. Lieutenant Governor and members of the body, I think Senator Beutler asked earlier about LB 775. I think most of the plants get LB 775 benefits from...from this, from the ethanol. Senator Schrock, can I ask you a question? I think you...I could ask...I only got...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Schrock.

SENATOR HARTNETT: ...one mike here and, Senator Stuhr, I've got it, so...(Laugh)...this is going to end, as I understand from the conversation, in, what, November or December next year?

SENATOR SCHROCK: December, year 2000.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Two thousand. Will this be an issue that will be coming up, you think, from your...your perspective? It, you know, to me is a, you know, I have very few farms in my district, about five I think I always say. Will this come...be coming back again do you think, Senator Schrock?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Senator Hartnett, I don't think any of us understand what the potential may or may not be for ethanol. You know, I've heard the comment by Senator Kremer that there's more production than there is demand. That's just probably an issue of pricing and we don't know what other states are going to do. Certainly there's clean air mandates out there that the ethanol addresses. You know, one state was progressive enough to mandate a hundred percent ethanol. But I think if the demand increases and I believe there's some potential, we don't know, and if more ethanol plants can come on line or if expanded productions comes on line, then I think the...there's senators in this body, including me, and I think there's ag organizations that would be in favor of another checkoff of another scope if it meant more ethanol production and more corn consumption in this state.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Hartnett. Further discussion on the committee amendment, Senator Redfield.